

**KAHNAWÀ:KE
LEGISLATIVE COORDINATING COMMISSION
RECORD OF CONCLUSION**

2, Tsothórhra/December 2015
Council Lounge
10:00 -12:15 PM

TYPE OF MEETING:	KLCC General
CHAIRPERSON: NOTE TAKER:	Leslie Skye Kim Beauvais
ATTENDEES:	Joe Delaronde, Robert (Bobby) Patton (10:20), Kevin Fleischer, Jean Pommerville, Vernon Goodleaf, Kahsennenhawe Sky-Deer, Ron Skye
ABSENT:	Dwaine White
ITEM	DESCRIPTION
1. Previous ROC	Approval received for 9/16/15 ROC. Please review the 10/23/15 ROC and send any changes by @ 4:00 p.m. as they will be finalized at that time.
2. File Updates	<p>a) MCK/KLCC Guidelines (Reg's) – Jean & Kevin's update The MCK/KLCC Guidelines were intended as standards for independent bodies that have the power to make Regulations (like ABC, Gaming, etc.). They should be standards for "drafting" regulations, i.e.: how to identify stakeholders, the minimum number that should be consulted, and things of that nature. They should not be a drafting "how to". It was suggested that a strategic KLCC session be scheduled to work on the details. <i>ACTION: Leslie & Kim to schedule strategic KLCC meeting to develop MCK/KLCC Guidelines.</i></p> <p>b) Membership – Kahsennenhawe's update The video series, outlining the evolution of Membership since contact, is complete. It is hoped that the series will give people a better understanding of what the issues are before attending CDMP Hearings. KML Hearings will recommence in the New Year. Kahsennenhawe will be the lead resource person at the CDMP Hearings with the Director of SDU and Kahnawà:ke Membership staff dividing up the Registrar's responsibilities until her return. The question of whether OCC or Membership should be the proponent of the Residency Law that has been requested by community members was discussed. It was pointed out that Council should designate a Unit to become the proponent utilizing the original proponents as resource persons. It was noted that there is no, forum other than the CDMP for those in opposition to the KML to voice their opinions; however, C.O.R.E. has been hosting meetings for this purpose. Those not on the KKR have the opportunity to give their input during the consultation phase of any Law being developed or amended. It</p>

was suggested that perhaps the Portfolio Chiefs as well as technicians and KLCC could attend these types of meetings to lend credibility to and deliver the voice of those not on the KKR to the CDMP Hearings. It was felt that if people want to voice their opposition to a law, the CDMP Hearings are where they should give their input, or in the case of those not on the KKR, have someone present it for them.

ACTION: Membership Hearings will resume in the New Year as KLCCs Minimum Communication Standards to hold the Hearing on Dec. 8, 2015 would not be met.

c) Tobacco Law – Bobby’s update

The stakeholders brought to KLCCs attention that their comments were not accurately recorded or omitted. KLCC then brought the issue to Chief & Council (Nov. 16/15) that the Tobacco’s Organizational Stakeholder Feedback Report comments were not accurately conveyed and omitted. While it was noted that the substance of the feedback is not KLCCs responsibility because it is considered ‘*content*’, the feedback is part of the ‘*Process*’ that is KLCCs responsibility.

The redrafted law that includes all 141 comments is to be presented to the MCK/KTA Drafting Team by the two Tobacco Portfolio Chiefs. It will be the responsibility of the KTA and Chief & Council to decide if it should be used, and whether the First Hearing will need to start over from the beginning to include feedback that was omitted.

For future consideration, it was suggested that the legal review of laws be done prior to the First Hearing to ensure Council Chiefs understand the pros and cons of the content of the law.

ACTION: Bobby and Gina will meet with KTA to determine if they will be accepting this Draft (that includes 141 feedback comments – Nov. 2015). There will be no Hearing on Dec. 8, 2015 because there is not enough time to meet the minimum communication standards to give the community enough notice.

d) KFHL – Leslie’s update

The resubmission does not have to go back to Chief and Council as it was already categorized as a Type I and would be going through the Regular Process (non-urgent).

e) Status KLCC – Kahsennenhawe

There were three possible options discussed to address status of permanency of KLCC.

- 1) Reconfirm the Mandate of KLCC
- 2) MCK needs to identify recurrent funding for KLCC

	<p>3) Status of employees needs to be finalized (Fixed Term vs Indeterminate) <i>ACTION: Kahsennenhawe to schedule Council Strategic Discussions to address permanency of KLCC staff as Indeterminate employees.</i></p>
3. NEXT STEPS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Set Council Agenda Meeting for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Mandate The Mandate should be complete before the Christmas break. -KLCC Revisions • KLCC Community Representatives – Review of candidates <p><i>DECISION: Dale Dione was selected as the new KLCC Community Representative.</i> <i>ACTION: A letter will be sent to both applicants to advise them of the decision of the Commission.</i></p>
4. Other	<p>Liability Waiver – Kanento & HRs response The community representatives are covered for professional liability under MCK’s existing policy. More specifically they are covered against any lawsuits for decision making, personal injury & breach of confidentiality. However, it is still recommended that a confidentiality agreement be signed. <i>ACTION: Leslie to draft for review.</i></p>
5. Adjournment & Next Meeting(s)	<p>Meeting adjourned at: Approximately 12:20pm Next KLCC Meeting: Strategic Session & Christmas outing Next CDMP Hearing: In the New Year</p>
NOTES Standing Items: CDMP Revisions	<p>Development of: -Values & Principles (Laws)</p>