Meeting (Issue	/Purpose): KLCC Monthly Meeting	Chairperson: Les	slie Skye	Recor	der: Kim Beauvais		
Date: Thursda	y, January 7, 2016	Start time: 1:30 pm	End time:	4:00 pm	Location: MCK Large C.		
Agenda Item	Discussion/Decision/Action						
Dec. 2/15 ROC Follow-Up:	ROC will be finalized by 4 pm today, if we do	,					
Dec.16/15 KLCC Strat. Rev.	Strategic Review DEFERRED to: 02/4/16. It was clarified that the Values & Principles were applicable to the KLCC's relationship with each other as Commissione ACTION: KLCC to approve KLCC Principles' definition of: Transparency: "We will be open and honest with each other in an effort to maintain trust."						
2016 KLCC Comm. Plan	A preliminary meeting was held with Communications to discuss KLCC's communication plan as was follow-up from our KLCC Strategic Review on Dec. 16 th session. Permission from Council and Information Systems is required for security reasons if we choose YouTube to promote the CDMP. We need a standard format to give updates month after month, like Chiefs' Corner. Approval of up to \$5,000 has been given by Dwaine.						
KLCC Mandate	Decision: Continue discussions with Joe Delaronde. OCC to forward their communication plans/budgets we could use as a tool. A final review was done with discussion about the Coordinator as a voting member. Typically the Coordinator should coordinate and not have a say in the direction of the body. Politically, this will not work well because they are considered to be an operational person that's given direction rather than giving themselves direction. There needs to be a clear delineation between the two. The rationale behind KLCC's decision to give the Coordinator a vote was based on their experience and familiarity with the Process. Discussion then included that in the event of a vote resulting in a stalemate, the Coordinator could have the deciding vote to break the tie. However, it was further noted that the CDMP operates by consensus. Concern expressed that the Mandate document did not identify the voting Commissioners, yet there is reference to a quorum of 50% of voting Commissioners to hold meetings. There is no quorum stated for decision making. Everyone else not specified as "non-voting" Commissioners, is assumed to be voting. The question was raised concerning the possibility of a conflict where MCK employees being Commissioners and signing an oath of office or a confidentiality agreement and their duty of loyalty to their employer MCK. A standard set of guidelines should be developed concerning how employees deal with these conflicts and who they go to see when these situations arise. Loyalties and accountabilities: in the case of an MCK employee who is the KLCC Coordinator and a Commissioner how do you handle conflicts of interest? It was suggested that there be two sets of guidelines developed – political guidelines and one for the employee. It should be noted that there is a difference between an employee who volunteers for a Commission and one who is appointed. Decision: Section 2.1.1 – Remove the youth rep and change the number of Community Representatives to two (2). Add "(non-voting)" aft						
File Updates: a) Draft Tobacco Law	their feedback or are we going to follow the pand KTA into the process. We are there now argue over going back over the 15 comments Process. Chief and Council will be updated or Discussion followed about defining the proposet between KLCC & the Working Group held in J	process that's been in place from the We have to start from the beging, and the format of the Law that we monday concerning this file. Onent for this Law and outlining the uly 2014 to define everyone's resp	he beginning? We'ning to address the ill be used. Althougheir responsibilities.	re saying follow 15 comments t gh no categoriz It was noted th	ration done as a Type I or II, it's following a Type I		

January 7th, 2016 FINAL ROC Page **1** of **2**

	was determined that there is nothing to indicate that the MCK/KTA goes into the process jointly – they only jointly get to the process, and that the proponent is clearly the KTA.					
b) Member	Kahsennenhawe reported that the Membership staff met to go over some of the sections that will be addressed. The agenda has to do with applications, in-					
ship Law						
	medical leave, at the CDMP Hearings. They are looking forward to the next dates in February. Kahsennenhawe has put membership on the agenda for Chief and Council's Strategic Discussions – 5 or 4 point action plan, any other updates concerning the law suit, Canadian human rights, letters, whatever is the next action.					
	They would like to meet to start discussing membership issues in KCR.					
c) Election	The 2015 MCK Elections team met to go over the various recommendations that were made to amend the Election Law. The compiled recommendations will be					
Law	submitted to the KLCC. It is expected that the EDO will be the proponent.					
Other:	Connection to date has been an administrative or operational link with General Manager of Operations, OCC as the 1 st supervisor. As KLCC sets strategic					
Relationship	direction, the question remains, should a member of the KLCC be the 2 nd supervisor for the Coordinator? Currently Kahsennenhawe is the 2 nd supervisor, but this					
between KLCC	can be perceived as political interference. It was suggested for the KLCC to delegate a KLCC member to be her supervisor and perhaps with an in camera meeting					
& OCC	to choose. It was noted that KLCC needs a more strategic link and that the Chief Political Advisor of OCC could assume that role with minimal participation initially					
	but eventually could attend both KLCC and CDMP meetings. Lastly, it was suggested that KLCC minimize updates to C & C to quarterly from monthly.					
	ACTION: KLCC to decide whether a KLCC member should act as supervisor to the Coordinator					

Meeting Evaluation							
What went well	Problems to Overcome	Solutions					
Good, in-depth discussion on important	Energy level of group decreased as meeting went on.	Quick 5 minute break. (8)					
issues							
Discussion was good.	None.	None	(10)				
Identifying solutions for revising the	Addressing KTA involvement as proponent of Tobacco Law and ensuring the process is not	None	(7–8)				
mandate.	skewed as a result.						
Discussion clarifying needs.	Preparedness lacking. Discussion getting off track.	Time keeper. Updates should be limited to					
		updates – not discussion	n. (9)				
Very good.	So far so good.	None	(8)				
Good dialogue & discussions. N/A. People not being prepared.		N/A. Reps have to read their material. (7)					

Attendees: Chief Kahsennenhawe Sky-Deer, Chief Robert Patton, Vernon Goodleaf, Jean Pommainville, Kevin Fleischer, Dwaine White

Guest: Winona Polson-Lahache

Apologies: Ron Skye, Joe Delaronde

End Time:	Location:	On scale of 1–10 this meeting was
8:30 pm	Karonhianonhnha	а
12:00 pm	Large C.	
10:00 am	Large C.	8.25
8:30 pm	Karonhianonhnha	
	12:00 pm 10:00 am	12:00 pm Large C. 10:00 am Large C.

Priority Level – A = Must be covered at this meeting B = Important, but may be deferred if time is limited

INF = Information **DIS** = Discussion **AC**T= Action