## TO THE RESPONDENT

Nia:wen for taking the time to answer these questions on membership. The Mohawk Council of Kahnawà:ke Membership Department values your opinion. You are the stakeholders in this review of the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law and you are participating for the benefit of the community as a whole.

The information will give the MCK your insight, your opinion, and your support to several options on issues involving the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law review process. This knowledge will guide the MCK and identify any draft changes to the Law. It will give a clearer direction on membership criteria to determine who will be on the MCK Kahnawà:ke Kanien'kehá:ka Registry. Results will be shared with the community.

### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

Please answer all questions. We ask some information about you so that we can see the age group and gender of respondents. Some of the questions have a short introduction and then the question. Some questions have more than one part to it.

Some questions ask you to circle on a line how much you agree with a statement.

Totally	Somewhat	Agree	Somewhat	Totally
Agree	Agree	-	Disagree	Disagree

Yet other questions ask you to check a box ☑ for options. The questionnaire has 12 questions asked. Take your time and if you are not sure about something, please ask. When you are done, give it back to the person who gave it to you. Niawenhkowa.

## SOME FACTS ABOUT YOU

Please check  $\square$  the appropriate box:

under 15 years	45 – 54 years
□ 16 – 24 years	□ 55 – 64 years
□ 25 – 34 years	□ 65 – 74 years
□ 35 – 44 years	□ 75 years or older
	□ 16 – 24 years □ 25 – 34 years

3, Do you live in Kahnawà:ke? 🛛 Yes 🖾 No

4. Is this your first time participating in a Community Decision Making Session?
 □ Yes □ No

5. Are you currently on the Kahnawà:ke Kanien'kehá:ka Registry? 

Yes 
No

# Please proceed with the questionnaire

Questionnaire on Membership in Kahnawà:ke and the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law

<ul> <li>a Kanien'kehá:ka o</li> <li>the Mohawk Counci</li> <li>be identified</li> <li>be born of 2</li> <li>have 4 or mo</li> </ul>	2003 Kahnawà:ke Mem f Kahnawà:ke, therefore l of Kahnawà:ke, require as a Kanien'kehá:ka of members or born of 1 n ore Kanien'kehá:ka Gre or will get a clan affiliatio	e determine es a person Kahnawà:k nember and eat-Grandpa	s who can r to: e at birth, the other pa rents,	eceive services a	dministered by
	bout the membership copinion on membership		nily blood lin	e? Please check <u>o</u>	ne option that
Keep criteria a	s described above – <i>no</i>	<i>change</i> _in t	ne current M	embership Law.	
Keep criteria a Grandparents.	s described above but u	ıse <i>50%_</i> Mo	hawk blood o	quantum instead o	f Great-
Keep criteria a	s described above but u	ise <i>three</i> Gr	eat-Grandpa	rents instead of fo	ur.
Keep criteria a	s described above but u	ise <i>two</i> Grea	at-Grandpare	ents instead of four	r.
who has little or no married or living in c	2003 Kahnawà:ke Mer Kanien'kehá:ka lineage common law relationship hese criteria? Please <u>cir</u>	may apply with a mer	to be confirn nber.	ned as a member i	•
Totally So	omewhat	Agree		 Somewhat	Totally
•	gree			Disagree	Disagree
<b>2a.</b> Do you agree the considered for m	nat being married and l embership?	iving in corr □ Yes		ationship are equa	al conditions to
	has Indigenous lineage (ahnawà:ke, should mer	nbership for	that person		
		□ Yes	□ No		
3. Do you think if no	on-natives consistently h	become part	of the comn	nunity. that over tir	
give the Federal gov	vernment enough power	to take awa	ay our reserv		

### Questionnaire on Membership in Kahnawà:ke and the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law

**4.** Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke: Membership Law, a member who *adopts a child* who has no Kanien'kehá:ka or Indigenous lineage, that child is not eligible for membership. Do you agree with this? Please <u>circle</u> your level of agreement.

Totally	Somewhat	Agree	Somewhat	Totally
Agree	Agree		Disagree	Disagree

**5.** Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law, an *adopted child* who has 4 or more Kanien'kehá:ka Great-Grandparents and normally resides in the Territory, at age 18 years must reaffirm a Member's Pledge within a year of turning 18 years old or their membership can be revoked.

What do you think should be the course of action at age 18 years for an adopted child with 4 or more Kanien'kehá:ka Great-Grandparents? Please check <u>one</u> option that best matches your opinion.

 $\Box$  I agree with the statement above, at 18 years a Member's Pledge must be made to keep membership – *no change* in the current Membership Law.

When an adopted child with 4 Kanien'kehá:ka Great-Grandparents turns 18 years old, the person will make an *application* for membership as an adult member.

When an adopted child with 4 Kanien'kehá:ka Great-Grandparents turns 18 years old, the person will present themselves to the Council of Elders and *request* adult membership.

□ When an adopted child with 4 Kanien'kehá:ka Great-Grandparents turns 18 years old, the person will *notify* the Membership Registrar and request to be placed on the Registry and be issued a band card.

**6.** Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law, a person is eligible to apply for permission to be a non-member resident if the person has *some* Kanien'kehá:ka lineage, maintains family ties and has made positive contribution to the community.

Who do you think should be eligible to apply for non-member residency? Please check <u>one</u> option that best matches your opinion on eligibility for non-member residency.

 $\Box$  As above, the person must have <u>some</u> Kanien'kehá:ka lineage, maintain family ties and has made positive contribution to the community to be a non-member resident – no change in the current Membership Law.

As above, but make a change so that <u>any person</u> with or without some Kanien'kehá:ka lineage who is <u>married</u> to a member can apply to be a non-member resident.

As above, but make a change so that <u>any person</u> with or without some Kanien'kehá:ka lineage who is <u>living</u> in a common law relationship with a member can apply to be a non-member resident.

Questionnaire on Membership in Kahnawà:ke and the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law

Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law, membership criteria refers to "community es."			
What would best describe "community ties" to you? Please check <u>all</u> options that best describe wha you think "community ties" mean.	t		
□ Having your own biological child.			
Being an adopted child raised in Kahnawà:ke.			
Work in the community for more that 10 years.			
Having biological family in the Kahnawa:ke (parent, sister, brother, aunt or uncle for example).			
□ Married to a Mohawk who is a member.			
□ Living in a common law relationship with a Mohawk who is a member.			
Other. Please say:			
<ul> <li>8. The Kahnawà:ke Membership Law should allow all non- natives married to a member to live in Kahnawà:ke. Do you agreement with this statement? Please circle your level of agreement.</li> <li>Totally Somewhat Agree Somewhat Totally Agree Agree Disagree Disagree</li> </ul>			
<b>9.</b> Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law, the Council of Elders is appointed by the community and is a group made up of not less than 9 and not more that 15 Elders.	Э		
Please check <u>one</u> option best matches your opinion on how many people should make up the Council of Elders.	Э		
□ Keep the same number, 9-15 Elders – <u>no change</u> in the current Membership Law.			
$\Box$ Lower the number to 5 – 9 Elders, with one extra to be an alternate.			
$\Box$ <u>Lower</u> the number to 5 – 7 Elders, with one extra to be an alternate.			
Keep the same number (9-15 Elders) but have the Council of Elders be divided into small groups to make membership decisions on a rotating basis.	3		

<b>10.</b> Currently in the 2003 Kahnawà:ke Membership Law, the definition of an <i>Elder</i> for the Council of Elders refers to being an adult, a registered member, one who has community trust, respect and confidence and has knowledge of our customs.
Should there be more or other requirements to be on the Council of Elders to make decision on membership based on the Membership Law? Please check <u>one</u> option best matches your opinion.
□ Yes, being on the Council of Elders should have requirements besides what is currently described above.
No, you could be on the Council of Elders if you want to, if the community does not disagree.
10a. Should the Council of Elders be selected by an election?
🗆 Yes 🗆 No
<b>10b</b> . Should the Council of Elders serve for a limited number of years? □ Yes □ No
<b>11.</b> If the Kahnawà:ke Membership Law allowed non-natives to live in the community, should there be any requirements for residency? Please check <u>all</u> that you think should be required for non-natives to live here.
Be married to a Mohawk member.
□ Be required to pay fees for residency services. (Residency services may apply to school bus services, snow removal and road maintenance, and land use fees for example. Fees, for example, can be used to lower the MCK annual community maintenance costs).
□ Should leave the community when the person is no longer married to the Mohawk.
□ Should live here until any biological children reach the age of 18 years.
Should have residency criteria (contribute to the community, volunteer for example) identified by the Council of Elders.
□ Kahnawà:ke is not for non-natives.
Other. Please say:
12. Have you ever been told that if you marry or live with a non-native that you would not be able to live in Kahnawà:ke with the non-native? □ Yes □ No

Niawenhkowa for taking time to complete the questionnaire